



VALCOR SCIENTIFIC  
 2 LAWRENCE ROAD  
 SPRINGFIELD, NJ 07081  
 USA

## SV690C Series

### Rotary Valve Competitive Study

S:\TriContinent\VSF-E-0048.docx

#### REVISIONS

ECO #	REV LTR	PAGE NUMBERS REVISED	DATE	APPROVED
	-	Initial Release		

(REVISIONS ARE DETAILED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE.) NOTICE: Unauthorized use, manufacture or reproduction in whole or in part is prohibited. Drawing, design and other disclosures are property of Valcor Engineering Corporation®. Copyright © 2014, Valcor Engineering Corporation®.

	NAME	DATE
WRITTEN BY:	P. F. Worst	1/14/14
CHECKED BY:		
ENGINEER:		
Q.C.:		
PRODUCTION:		
ENG. MANAGER		

CODE IDENT #	DOCUMENT NUMBER
96487	<b>VSF-E-0048</b> <b>Rotary Valve Study</b>
	SHEET 1 (0580-0050)



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Para.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Sheet</u>
1.	SUMMARY .....	4
2.	BACKGROUND.....	4
3.	FINDINGS .....	5
4.	TEST DESCRIPTION .....	5
5.	TEST 1 .....	6
6.	TEST2 .....	9

## 1. SUMMARY

Valcor<sup>®</sup> Rotary Shear Valves manufactured with our proprietary processes and using proven engineering polymers such as PCTFE, PEEK, UHMWPE & PTFE provide cost effective and unparalleled longevity in syringe pump applications. The life provided by Valcor<sup>®</sup> valves is typically a minimum of 1 million cycles even in more demanding applications and routinely several times the life of competing polymer valves. In many cases, the life far exceeds ceramic valves at a fraction of the price. These claims are backed up by extensive testing and real life applications/data provided by Valcor<sup>®</sup> customers.

#	Competitor Valve Tested/compared	Competitor - Average Cycles until Leak	VALCOR Average Cycles until Leak	VALCOR Advantage (X Times Longer)
1	SV690C-10092 compared to XP 3-Port 120° Y	519,300 <sup>1</sup>	4,089,000 <sup>2</sup>	<b>8X</b>
2	SV690C-10062 compared to XCalibur - 4-Port 90° Distribution	327305 <sup>3</sup>	7,939,258 <sup>4</sup>	<b>24X</b>

1. The five Tecan/Cavro<sup>®</sup> XP Valves exhibited first measurable leaks ranging from a low of 65,573 to a high of 1,076,912. Average cycle count across all 5 valves was 519,288.
2. **Test stopped before measurable leak encountered.**
3. The two Tecan/Cavro<sup>®</sup> XC/XLP Valves exhibited first measurable leak at the 327,305 cycles
4. The two Valcor<sup>®</sup> Valves exhibited first measurable leaks ranging from a low of 6,507,224 cycles to a high of 9,371,293. Average cycle count for the two valves was 7,939,258.

## 2. BACKGROUND

Rotary Shear Valves have long been the standard design for flow control used in precision syringe pumps. Although unmatched in providing fluidic performance, they have historically experienced relatively poor longevity, sometimes lasting as little as 50,000 cycles.

In recent years some manufacturers have pursued alternative materials and designs in an effort to reduce their high failure rates. Commonly the materials now used for “long-life” valves are ceramic rotors and/or stators with a face seal configuration. Compared to previous rotary shear valve designs, this approach offers promise of longer life but at significant expense. Ceramic is also prone to seizing when crystallizing reagents, such as commonly used saline buffers, are being used and the valves sit idle for even a short period of time. Resulting in very costly replacements.

Valcor accepted the challenge from key instrument manufacturers to develop a better alternative, providing relief from the early failure versus extreme cost dilemma. Valcor embarked on an exhaustive R&D program to satisfy industry demand for a long-life cost-effective valve.

### 3. FINDINGS

Valcor engineers ultimately discovered that previous longevity limits using polymers were largely related to the materials used, how they are processed by the raw materials suppliers, how they are machined and how parts are handled after machining:

- Although the chemical makeup of the PCTFE, PTFE and UHMWPE used in Valcor valves are industry standard, it was discovered that not all raw material suppliers process the materials the same way. Consequently Valcor worked with the best material suppliers to develop unique and stringent specifications to which the materials must adhere.
- Outdated manufacturing processes were not ideally suited to these materials for this application. Valcor invested heavily in the proper equipment required and developed proprietary manufacturing processes to realize their full potential. Additionally Valcor engineers had to make special modifications to the CNC machine tools in order to achieve the exceptional finishes and tolerances needed to achieve superior longevity.
- Valve components are 100% visually inspected to ensure the parts are free of burrs and potentially harmful contaminants. Parts are also dimensionally inspected using fully automated coordinate measuring machines (CMM). Finally the components are assembled and 100% tested for leaks using extremely sensitive automated leak check instrumentation.

#### TEST 1 - SET UP AND SUMMARY RESULTS CAVRO® XP VALVES vs. VALCOR 3-PORT 120° Y VALVES

04 Aug, 2009 HFS  
7682-REV-EE

Test 1 - AVTF Auto Test Fixture Sample Size 5 each	
VALCOR - 120° 3-port PCTFE body, UHMWPE Plug (Cat. # SV690C-10092)	Tecan® XP Style - 120° 3-port PCTFE body, PTFE Plug (Tecan® PN 20725587)

### 4. TEST DESCRIPTION

A comparative analysis of valve life from testing on the automated valve test fixture is presented below. V1 through V5 are XP valves and V6 through V10 are Valcor valves. Shown in the columns from left to right are leak rate verses cycle count for each valve as determined from the fixture data. At the bottom is the actual (off-line) measured leak rate for each valve.

The XP valves exhibited the leak rate criteria (3 consecutive detected leaks of 0.7 microliters in less than 15 seconds = 0.047 microliters/sec) starting as low as 65,573 cycles for V3 and as high as 1,076,912 cycles for V5. The Valcor valves did not exhibit the leak rate criteria through the end of testing (4,089,230 cycles).

While the fixture is capable of detecting low leak rates, at very low leak rates (less than approximately 0.2 microliters/sec.), the fixture will record a leak rate that, while a measurable air leak rate, is essentially a zero fluid leak rate.

## 5. TEST 1

### 5.1 Test 1 Set Up

For Test 1, the Valcor proprietary Automated Valve Test Fixture (AVTF) was used. The AVTF has been extensively engineered and is the only fixture in existence that can rapidly life cycle and automatically leak check rotary valves without ever removing them from the apparatus. The device can test up to 10 valves of varying configurations simultaneously. The AVTF cycles valves at an accelerated rate, up to 80,000 cycles per day. The AVTF is driven and managed via a sophisticated LabView software system that controls all test timing, valve motions, logging and data acquisition.

### 5.2 Test 1 Conditions

Automated Valve Test Fixture (AVTF)

- Cycling test fluid was filtered distilled water.
- Cycling pressures were 30 PSIG and Leak Test Pressures were 60 PSIG water. Offline leak test pressures were 100 PSIG water.
- Leak Criteria –
  - 0.047 microliters of water per second (tested online by the AVTF).
  - 0.010 microliters of water per second offline and/or an increasing leak rate of air from a port with an increasing number of cycles.

### 5.3 Test 1 Summary

The five Tecan/Cavro<sup>®</sup> XP Valves had cycle counts at first indication of leakage ranging from a low of 65,573 to a high of 1,076,912. Average cycle count across all 5 valves was 519,228. None of the Valcor valves provided indication of leakage throughout the duration of the test. The five Valcor valves were allowed to cycle beyond 4 million cycles before it was decided to end the test. The test fixture would be utilized to perform additional tests and further enhancements would be made. At “end of test” the Valcor valves were once again leak checked. When determining a ratio of expected life improvement over the Tecan/Cavro<sup>®</sup> valves, the cycle count at end of test was used even though there was no measured leakage. This yields a value of 7.9 so **an advantage statement of “greater than 8x” is justified.**

If the Valcor valves were allowed to cycle until leakage was measured, it is expected the advantage ratio would be considerably higher, potentially 24X or greater since the valves are manufactured in the same manner as those used in Test 2.

**Valve Test 1 - Life Testing Results, Indication of Leak Summary\***

	First Indication of Leak			End of Test
Valve #	Leak Rate/ # Cycles	Leak Rate/ # Cycles	Leak Rate/ # Cycles	Leak Rate/ # Cycles
<b>COMPETITOR VALVES</b>				
<b>V1 (XP)</b>	.064 $\mu$ L/s 892,803	.047 $\mu$ L/s 1,052,995	.239 $\mu$ L/s 1,060,729	2.136 $\mu$ L/s (a) 4,089,230
<b>V2 (XP)</b>	.495 $\mu$ L/s 230,767	1.278 $\mu$ L/s 296,760	.050 $\mu$ L/s 329,757	3.064 $\mu$ L/s (b) 4,089,230
<b>V3 (XP)</b>	.473 $\mu$ L/s 65,573	.153 $\mu$ L/s 98,360	.076 $\mu$ L/s 131,147	3.082 $\mu$ L/s (c) 4,089,230
<b>V4 (XP)</b>	.095 $\mu$ L/s 330,387	.051 $\mu$ L/s 364,266	.086 $\mu$ L/s 401,634	4.080 $\mu$ L/s (d) 4,089,230
<b>V5 (XP)</b>	.053 $\mu$ L/s 1,076,912	.079 $\mu$ L/s 1,141,350	.048 $\mu$ L/s 1,203,224	.823 $\mu$ L/s (e) 4,089,230
<b>VALCOR VALVES</b>				
<b>V6 (VALCOR)</b>				.028 $\mu$ L/s (f) 4,089,230
<b>V7 (VALCOR)</b>				.034 $\mu$ L/s (f) 4,089,230
<b>V8 (VALCOR)</b>				.028 $\mu$ L/s (f) 4,089,230
<b>V9 (VALCOR)</b>				.027 $\mu$ L/s (f) 4,089,230
<b>V10 (VALCOR)</b>				.006 $\mu$ L/s (f) 4,089,230
<b>Average Cycles :</b>	<b>519,288</b>			<b>4,089,230</b>

- a) Actual leak rate: 3.162  $\mu$ L/s (extrapolated from 100 to 60 PSIG)
- b) Actual leak rate: 1.338  $\mu$ L/s (extrapolated from 100 to 60 PSIG)
- c) Actual leak rate: 6.324  $\mu$ L/s (extrapolated from 100 to 60 PSIG)
- d) Actual leak rate: 2.232  $\mu$ L/s (extrapolated from 100 to 60 PSIG)
- e) Actual leak rate: 1.122  $\mu$ L/s (extrapolated from 100 to 60 PSIG)
- f) Actual leak rate: 0 leak detected in 120 seconds, leak = 5 picoliters/sec

\*: all cycle counts until first indication of leakage were recorded and then averaged.

**Test 1 Set up**  
**Automated Valve Test Fixture**




Figure 2 - LabView Control Screen



## 6. TEST 2

### 6.1 Test 2 Description

A comparison analysis of valve life from testing on Tecan<sup>®</sup> XCalibur Pumps and Valcor SV690C3 Pumps is presented below. V1 and V2 are Valcor 4-port Distribution Valves and V3 and V4 are Tecan/Cavro<sup>®</sup> XC/XLP valves. Shown in the columns from left to right are leak rate verses cycle count for each valve as determined from the offline leak test data.

The XCalibur valves exhibited measurable leakage starting as low as 327,305 cycles. The Valcor valves continued cycling and were checked for leaks periodically until 6.5 million cycles when Valve 2 was noticed to have developed a leak. It maintained this leak rate until 7.25 million cycles when the leak rate became moderate and the valve was removed from test.

Valve 1 was allowed to cycle beyond 10 million cycles and finally developed a leak of .018  $\mu\text{L/s}$  at 10,323,829. The test was ended at this count.

### 6.2 Test 2 Set Up

For Test 2, the distribution valves were tested on the physical pumps they were designed to work with. This was necessary because the sealing surface area available on standard distribution style rotary shear valves is significantly less than non-distribution valves such as those used in Test 1. This can result in over-heating of the materials when run in an accelerated mode and can lead to skewed test results. Consequently the XCalibur Distribution valves were tested on Xcalibur pumps alongside the SV690C3 Distribution valves tested on SV690C3 pumps.

### 6.3 Test 2 Conditions

3 cm. Stroke Pumps.

- Cycling test fluid was filtered distilled water.
- Cycling pressures were 30 PSIG and Leak Test Pressures were 100 PSIG.
- Leak Criteria- 0.010 microliters of water per second offline and/or and increasing leak rate of air from a port with an increasing number of cycles.

6.4 Test 2 Summary

The two Tecan/Cavro<sup>®</sup> XC/XLP Valves had cycle counts at first indication of leakage at the 327,305 cycle mark. The two Valcor valves provided first indication of leakage at the cycle mark of 6,507,224 and 9,371,293 for Valve 2 and Valve 1 respectively. Valve 2 achieved what was deemed a moderate leak at the 7.2 million cycle mark and cycling was stopped. Valve 1 was allowed to cycle to the 10.c million cycle mark at which time the test was ended. By the end of test Valve 1 had achieved a leak rate of 0.018 microliters/sec.

When determining a ration of expected life improvement over the Tecan/Cavro<sup>®</sup> valves, the cycle counts at the first indication of leakage for all valves was used. The life for the Valcor valves was then 7,939,258 cycles and for the Tecan/Cavro<sup>®</sup> valves 327,305 cycles. This yields a ratio of 24.26 so **and advantage statement of greater than 24X is justified.**

Valve Test 2 - Life Testing Results, Indication of Leak Summary

	First Indication of Leak - water			End of Test
Valve #	Leak Rate/ # Cycles	Leak Rate/ # Cycles	Leak Rate/ # Cycles	Leak Rate/ # Cycles
<b>VALCOR VALVES</b>				
V1 (VALCOR)	.014 µL/s 9,371,293			.018 µL/s 10,323,829
V2 (VALCOR)				.104 µL/s 7,248,393
<b>COMPETITOR VALVES</b>				
V3 (XC/XLP)	.012 µL/s 327,305			.310 µL/s 1,190,963
V4 (XC/XLP)	.046 µL/s 327,305			0.564 µL/s 599,096
Average Cycles :	(VAL.) 7,939,258 (XC/XLP) 327,305			